



Behavioral Competencies of Highly Regarded Systems Engineers at NASA

2009 PM Challenge

Christine Williams (HQ OCE) and Mary Ellen Derro (JPL)



Overview



- Background for study
- Behavioral Study of Systems Engineers
 Purpose and Methodology
 Behavioral Competency Model
- NASA Findings
 - How they differ from JPL findings
- Future Study Considerations



Background



March 2008, Agency-wide Systems Engineering (SE) Workshop held to discuss how NASA leadership could communicate a balanced picture of SE to those who work and interact with SE activities.

- Art and Science of SE The "Monograph"
- SE Framework Deployment
- SE Workforce Development Strategy Focus on:
 - Accelerating the development of next generation SE's: Systems Engineering Leadership Development Program (SELDP)
 - Identifying what makes a highly effective SE: SE Behaviors Studies
 Part I and II



Purpose & Methodology



- 37 of the most highly regarded SE's from across NASA were studied to identify the characteristics or behaviors that set them apart.
- Study data is being used to design or update SE training, development, coaching and mentoring programs to develop these behaviors in SE's across the agency.
- Share information to the SE community at large.



Methodology



- Nine NASA Centers participated.
- Study conducted by OD Specialists from Center's or APPEL.
- Duplicated the JPL Methodology Including:
 - One to two hour one-on-one interviews
 - All SE s were asked 8 behavioral questions and 2 questions for recruiting and succession planning purposes at JPL
 - NASA Study 13 questions on context, relation to self and personal awareness, and projecting forward
 - Interviews were tape-recorded and transcribed
 - SE's were Shadowed and Observed
 - Myers Briggs Type Indicator (MBTI) was Administered
 - Thematic analysis of common themes was conducted and information was grouped into clusters of competencies with associated behaviors
 - Concurrence with interviewees on overall competencies was sought.



Competency Model



| Level | Description | Example | |
|--------------------------|--|---|--|
| Top: Theme | Collections of competencies | Problem Solving and Systems Thinking | |
| Middle: Competencies | Aggregations of related observable behaviors | Critical thinking | |
| Lowest: Actual Behaviors | Observable Behaviors | May visualize the systems as a whole, then breaks large aspects down into small pieces, then simplify these latter pieces into even smaller pieces. Slices the pieces horizontally, vertically & diagonally to see connections & soft spots. Rebuilds parts into a whole. Navigates complexity on multiple dimensions & layers. See the big picture & the sum of its parts. | |

NASA SE Behavioral Competency Model

| Leadership | Attitude & Attributes | |
|--|--|--|
| Appreciates/ Recognizes Others | Remains Inquisitive and Curious | |
| Build Team Cohesion | Seeks Information and Uses the Art of Questioning | |
| Understands the Human Dynamics of a Team | Gains Respect Credibility, and Trust | |
| Creates Vision and Direction | Advances Ideas | |
| Ensures System Integrity | Possesses Self-Confidence | |
| Possesses Influencing Skills | Has a Comprehensive View | |
| Sees Situation Objectively | Possesses a Positive Attitude and Dedication to Mission Success | |
| Coaches and Mentors | Is Aware of Personal Limitations | |
| Delegates | Adapts to Change and Uncertainty | |
| Ensures Resources are Available | Uses Intuition/Sensing | |
| | Is Able to Deal with deal with Politics, Financial Issues, and Customer Needs | |

NAMASA SE Behavioral Competency Model

| Communication | Problem Solving and Systems Thinking | |
|--|--|--|
| Listens Effectively and Translates Information | Identifies the Real Problem | |
| Communicates Effectively Through Personal Interaction | Assimilates, Analyzes, and Synthesizes Data | |
| Facilitates and Environment of Open and Honest Communication | Thinks Systemically | |
| Uses Visuals to Communicate Complex Interactions | Has the Ability to Find Connections and Patterns Across the System | |
| Communicates Through Story Telling and Analogies | Sets Priorities | |
| Is Comfortable with Making Decisions | Keeps the Focus on Mission Requirements | |
| Technical Acumen | Possesses Creativity and Problem Solving Abilities | |
| Possesses Technical Competence and Has Comprehensive Previous Experience | Validates Facts, Information & Assumptions | |
| Learns from Successes and Failures | Remains Open Minded & Objective | |
| | Draws on Past Experiences | |
| | Manages Risk | |



MBTI Results



- 25 Participants Completed MBTI and Temperament
- Emerging Overall Patterns
- 9 types identified:
 - ISTJ, INFJ,INTP,ENTP,INTJ,ENTJ, ISTP,ESTP, ESFP
- All temperaments represented: SJ, NT, NF, SP
- Conclusions



Future Considerations



- Participants felt in ten years the art of SE and needed SE behaviors would remain the same, process and tools might be different, and the systems themselves would be larger and more complex.
 - Study Systems Engineers who are not considered "highly regarded" and compare differences.
 - Study when Systems Engineers began to realize they were systems thinkers i.e.: age, family influences, environment.
 - Compare job assignments/work experience across participants to see if there is any correlation between type of assignments and Systems Engineering success.



Differences from JPL Study



Two competencies showed up in NASA Vs JPL

- More Face to Face Interaction
- Greater Use of Charts and Visuals



For More Details...



 The NASA SE Behaviors Study and all Center Specific Reports are Available on the NASA Engineering Network at:

(http://www.nasa.gov/news/reports/NASA SE Behavior Study.html).

 Summary Article Published by Talent Management Magazine January/February 2009.



Behavior Study Part II



- NASA has expanded this effort to include a study of Executive SE Behaviors to Answer the Question:
 - What behaviors do highly effective SE executives use to achieve success?

 18 Executives NASA-wide are currently being studied and results are expected by April 2009.





Backup Charts



Theme: Leadership



| Competency | Example of Actual Behaviors | | |
|--|--|--|--|
| Appreciates/Recognizes Others | Articulates the relevance of the team's work and its overall contribution to the success of the program and organization. | | |
| Builds Team Cohesion | Models open, non-defensive behavior with others. | | |
| Understands the Human Dynamics of a Team | Genuinely respects people and their talents by encouraging and challenging them to do their best work. | | |
| Creates Vision and Direction | Keeps the team on track by holding a big picture view of what needs to be accomplished in order to reach mission requirements. Understands the integrity of the system is a primary role. Makes system planning decisions accordingly, reporting unacceptable project risks to senior management. | | |
| Ensures System Integrity | | | |
| Possesses Influencing Skills | Influences actions of personnel not under their direct management control by creating synergy among and with people. | | |
| Sees Situations Objectively | Understands some of the best ideas can come from a mix of people. Does not assume there is only one right answer. | | |
| Coaches and Mentors | Challenges individuals to do their best work by giving assignments that build their capabilities. | | |
| Delegates | Delegates responsibility and authority to the lowest possible levels while retaining control of sub-system requirements and system integration functions. | | |
| Ensures Resources are Available | Ensures team has the right tools, knowledge, and resources in order to get the job done. | | |



Theme: Attitudes and Attributes



| Competency | Example of Actual Behaviors | |
|---|---|--|
| Remains Inquisitive and Curious | Is naturally inquisitive and curious, and is largely driven by that curiosity. Is fearless and has an authentic and persistent desire to understand how everything works and how it relates to everything else. | |
| Seeks Information and Uses the Art of Questioning | Asks questions artfully. Uses a series of questions that build upon each other to help identify the root of a problem or solutions. | |
| Advances Ideas | Engages the team by explaining how the solution or approach was reached. | |
| Gains Respect Credibility, & Trust | Demonstrates understanding and appreciation of the challenges others face. | |
| Possesses Self-Confidence | Willing to speak up, regardless of who is present to ensure the most technically sound decision is made for the good of the overall system. | |
| Has a Comprehensive View | Takes responsibility for the whole life cycle, the whole system and all its parts. Understands the whole job and that it is never done. | |
| Possesses a Positive Attitude and Dedication to Mission Success | Encourages a success oriented environment by displaying passion, excitement and enthusiasm about the work and the challenges faced by the system | |
| Is Aware of Personal Limitations | Seeks guidance from experts. Knows what they know and what they don't know and seeks others to fill in missing data. | |
| Adapts to Change and Uncertainty | Understands that change is inevitable and takes appropriate actions quickly. May assemble other technical experts to brainstorm various avenues and approaches to support the change. | |
| Uses Intuition/ Sensing | Uses both intuition and sensing when evaluating a problem or making a decision. Does not rely solely on data. May use "gut feeling" if data is inconclusive. | |
| Is Able to Deal with Politics, Financial Issues, and Customer Needs | Shares and uses knowledge and expertise that shapes the political and financial environment in positive ways. | |



Theme: Communication



| Competency | Example of Actual Behaviors | | |
|---|---|--|--|
| Listens Effectively and Translates Information | Sees the system from various perspectives. Listens and acts as translator between parties (subsystems, Project, vendors and other customers), ensuring each gets the necessary information from others. | | |
| Communicates Effectively Through Personal Interaction | Prefers personal interaction over email. Uses face-to-face interaction as a primary communication channel to hear concerns, share information, build rapport, create buy-in and create relationships within a team. | | |
| Facilitates an Environment of Open and Honest Communication | Patiently listens to each of the team members/discipline experts in order to assure that everyone gets heardthat all diverse and dissenting opinions are considered. Listens to all who want to speak, does not communicate irritation and does not shut people down. | | |
| Uses Visuals to Communicate Complex Interactions | Graphically pulls together ideas, issues, and observations to better understand and explain all systems and interfaces and to solve complex problems. | | |
| Communicates Through Story Telling and Analogies | Uses personnel experiences to build connections and provide explanations by using engineering and non-engineering stories and analogies. | | |
| Is Comfortable with Making Decisions | Makes decisions in a confident and timely manner when appropriatewith or without complete or optimal informationallowing team members to maintain forward progress on their assigned tasks. | | |



Theme: Problem Solving & Systems Thinking



| Competency | Example of Actual Behaviors | | |
|--|---|--|--|
| Identifies the Real Problem | Identifies the critical problem to be solved by asking questions and identifying the key requirements. | | |
| Assimilates, Analyzes, and Synthesizes Data | Breaks data into smaller pieces or parameters, prioritizes the parameters, then synthesizes the data to reach an answer or solution. | | |
| Thinks Systemically | Looks across the entire system and facilitates trades and compromises to get a balanced design. | | |
| Has the Ability to Find Connections and Patterns Across the System | Examines and explores the implications of how technical decisions being made affect the bigger system architecture. Sees the ripple effect of changing requirements or making changes to any element of the system. | | |
| Sets Priorities | Sets technical and priorities in order to maintain the balance for the problems at hand while achieving system requirements. | | |
| Keeps the Focus on Mission Requirements | Studies, understands, and articulates the project's overall objectives. Knows what the system must do and be in order to accomplish its objectives. | | |
| Possesses Creativity and Problem Solving Abilities | Does not adhere to rigid rules or formulas for system design, but may create new ideas and approaches that are necessary to deal successfully with system constraints. | | |
| Validates Facts, Information and Assumptions | Questions all assumptions that go into the design. | | |
| Remains Open Minded and Objective | Evaluates decisions objectively. Maintains flexibility by avoiding 'ownership' of a particular strategy or point of view. | | |
| Draws on Past Experiences | Uses experience, history, intuition, and sensing in order to assess the situation and develop a solution. | | |
| Manages Risk | Develops mitigation strategies for addressing the problems, should they arise. | | |



Theme: Technical Acumen



| Competency | Example of Actual Behaviors |
|---|---|
| Possesses Technical Competence and Has Comprehensive Previous Experience | Demonstrates the depth of technical knowledge and expertise necessary to perform, manage, and coordinate work-related activities. |
| Learns from Successes and Failures | Shares with other lessons learned. Lessons come from a strong base of engineering experiences across the full life-cycle. |



MBTI Results



| INFJ Forseer/Developer 25,6,3,28 | INFP Proponent/ Advocate | ISTJ Overseer/ Inspector 7,11,28,29 26,26,30,30 | ISFJ Provider/ Nourisher |
|---|---|--|---|
| ENFJ Forseer/Mobilizer | ENFP Proponent/ Messenger | ESTJ Overseer/ Supervisor | ESFJ Provider/ Caretaker |
| INTJ Forseer/Mobilizer 5,10,7,6 18,6,24,8 17,8,25,25 ** (scores not available) | INTP Inventor/ Designer 30,5,5,19 12,16,15,8 16,24,30,2 4,16,14,12 11,9,14,11 4,9,6,1 ** (scores not available) | ISTP Maneuverer/ Operator 19,6,8,2 29,5,27,2 6,14,18,3 | ISFP Performer/ Composer |
| ENTJ Director/ Commandant | ENTP Inventor/ Improviser 12,8,5,14 15,4,6,7 | ESTP Maneuverer/ Promoter 30,5,24,1 16,3,1,2 25,5,8,12 14,26,5,6 17,10,20,12 | ESFP Performer/ Entertainer 8,19,3,4 |



NASA Interview Questions



- Context Questions
 - How would you describe the role of the SE?
 - On a scale of 1 to 10, how important is the SE in the success of a program/ project?
- Relation to Self and Personal Awareness
 - Create, in behavioral terms, statement that would describe you as a SE
 - Identify the attitudes and attributes a "highly regarded" SE possesses
 - What leadership behaviors does a "highly regarded" SE possess?
 - As a SE, what leadership abilities do you possess?
 - On a scale from 1 to 10, how important are these abilities to mission success?
 - What values drive you as a leader?
 - How are these values reflected in your attitude?
 - Describe what goes on in your mind when you are problem solving
- Projecting Forward
 - What do you look for in determining if someone will make a good SE?
 - How will the job of an SE be different 10 years from now?
 - What will the future SE need to know and do differently?